

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alcassedan, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/656,464	09/05/2003	Glen S. Axelrod	TFH047	8440	
32047 GROSSMAN	7590 06/11/200 THCKER PERREALI	9 LT & PFLEGER, PLLC	EXAMINER		
55 SOUTH COMMERICAL STREET			COLE, ELIZABETH M		
MANCHESTI	ER, NH 03101		ART UNIT	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			1794	•	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			06/11/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/656,464 AXELROD, GLEN S. Examiner Art Unit Elizabeth M. Cole 1794 The MAN INC DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence actives a

Office Action Gammary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Elizabeth M. Cole	1794					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.15 If NO period for reply is agreeful at above, the maximum statutory period to the provision of 37 CFR 1.15 If NO period for reply with the set or extended period for reply with 19 Leuka. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing agency drate term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin till apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this o D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 M	arch 2009.						
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-5.8-14.16 and 19-27 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) 1-5, 8-14, 16, 19-27 is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed onis/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
11)_ The oath of declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action of John P10-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 							
Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/S5/08)	- OT THOUSE THE CHINARY	ppmeanori					

Paper No(s)/Mail Date _______6) Other: _____.

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/656,464

Art Unit: 1794

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all
obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior at are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 1. Claims 1-5, 8-14, 16, 19-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Denesuk et al, U.S. Patent NO. 6,196,156 in view of Jordan, U.S. Patent No. 5,226,384, Sullivan, U.S. Patent No. 5,087,499 and Lin et al. U.S. Patent No. 5,354,605. Denesuk et al discloses an article for use by pets comprising a core which may comprise foam or other types of fillers such as synthetic and natural fibers, (col. 10. lines 28-41) and a fabric cover. The fabric cover may comprise two different types of fabric and each type of fabric only partially covers the core. See col. 10, line 60 - col. 11, line 7 and col. 11, lines 38-46 as well as example 4. Suitable fabrics include polyolefins, acetate, acrylic, nylons and polyesters. See col. 11, line 65 - col. 12, line 4. The fabrics can be woven, non-woven or knitted. See col. 9, lines 31-43. Denesuk differs from the claimed invention because Denesuk does not teach that at least one of the fibers should be a high strength fiber. Jordan teaches that high strength fibers such as aramid fibers can be used in forming covers for articles used by pets. See abstract. Therefore, it would have been obvious to have employed high strength fibers for parts of the cover of Denesuk which would be most exposed to wear and tear, biting, chewing, etc., motivated by the expectation that this would enhance the durability of the bed. Jordan teaches employing high strength fibers but does not teach blending the fibers

Art Unit: 1794

with other fibers. Sullivan teaches that is known in the art to blend high strength fibers with other fibers, such as cotton, silk, nylon, polyolefins, etc. See col. 3, lines 56-64. Therefore, it would have been obvious to have blended the high strength fibers of Jordan with other fibers as taught by Sullivan, in order to form varns which had additional properties such as enhanced softness, absorbency, hydrophobicity, etc., depending on what the final properties desired in the fabric were. For example, silk and cotton fibers would have been known in the art to provide enhanced softness and absorbency to a fabric relative to using all aramid yarns. Polyolefin yarns would produce a more hydrophobic fabric. Also, considerations of economy would tend toward blending the fibers in order to arrive at a fabric having the desired properties at an economical cost. Jordan teaches employing multiple layers of high strength fibers for use in forming articles for use by pets. Neither Denesuk nor Jordan teach orienting the layers so that they have different axes of orientation relative to each other. Lin et al teaches that in forming nonwoven fabrics that such fabrics can be formed to comprise multiple layers wherein each layer has an axis of orientation which is an angle to another layer's axis of orientation. See col. 12, lines 19-44. Lin teaches that the fibers of each of the layers may be staple fibers, including high strength fibers. See col. 2, lines 20-35. The staple fibers are formed into layers of fiber arrays which are oriented relative to each other to form a form a high strength fabric. See col. 8. lines 29-55. Therefore, it would have been obvious to have oriented the nonwoven layers of Denesuk so that they had a different axis of orientation relative to each other as taught by Lin, in order to produce a stronger fabric.

Application/Control Number: 10/656,464

Art Unit: 1794

Applicant's arguments have been fully considered but are moot in view of the new grounds of rejection.

 Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Elizabeth M. Cole whose telephone number is (571) 272-1475. The examiner may be reached between 6:30 AM and 6:00 PM Monday through Wednesday, and 6:30 AM and 2 PM on Thursday.

The examiner's supervisor Rena Dye may be reached at (571) 272-3186.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (foll-free).

Art Unit: 1794

The fax number for all official faxes is (571) 273-8300.

/Elizabeth M. Cole/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1794

e.m.c